1	ELIZABETH A. STRANGE	
2	First Assistant United States Attorney District of Arizona	
3	MATTHEW BINFORD Arizona State Bar No. 029019	
4	Matthew.Binford@usdoj.gov CAROLINA ESCALANTE Arizona State Bar No. 026233 Fernanda.Escalante.Konti@usdoj.gov GARY M. RESTAINO Arizona State Bar No. 017450 Gary.Restaino@usdoj.gov	
5		
6		
7		
8	Assistant U.S. Attorneys 40 N. Central Ave., Suite 1800	
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85004 Telephone: 602-514-7500	
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
11	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
12		
13	United States of America,	CR-17-00585-PHX-GMS
14	Plaintiff,	UNITED STATES' MOTION IN LIMINE TO PRECLUDE REFERENCE TO
15	V.	INSPECTOR GENERAL REPORTS
16	Thomas Mario Costanzo,	
17	Defendant.	
18	The United States files contemporaneous to this Motion a Response to the defense's	
19	suggestion that the Court take judicial notice of certain adverse Inspector General Reports,	
20	to wit: one report each by the Treasury and DOJ Inspector Generals as to asset forfeiture	
21	from March 2017. In support of this Motion to preclude reference to those two reports (or	
22	any other Inspector General report), the government incorporates by reference its	
23	Response. This is primarily a Rule 403 issue, although hearsay considerations also militate	
24	against any reference to the Reports in trial.	
25	That's not to say that the defense should be precluded from asking any questions	
26	about how asset forfeiture works in practice, and the government does not seek to broadly	
27		
28		

preclude that inquiry. On a witness-by-witness basis, the defense may seek to ask some 1 2 specific relevant questions, and the government preserves any relevance or other objection 3 to such questions. But the Reports themselves (or any reference to them) should be out of 4 bounds. Respectfully submitted this 26th day of March, 2018. 5 6 ELIZABETH A. STRANGE 7 First Assistant United States Attorney District of Arizona 8 s/ Gary Restaino 9 MATTHEW BINFORD CAROLINA ESCALANTE 10 GARY M. RESTAINO Assistant U.S. Attorneys 11 12 13 14 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** I hereby certify that on this 26th day of March 2018, I electronically transmitted the 15 attached document to the Clerk's Office using the CM/ECF System for filing and transmittal of a Notice of Electronic Filing to all counsel of record. 16 17 18 s/Cristina Abramo U.S. Attorney's Office 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 ¹ For example, the defense might ask about the stages of asset forfeiture, and 26 whether in an agent's experience his agency has returned money or property, or whether a Court has ordered the return of money or property. That doesn't seem particularly relevant in a vacuum, but the government does not intend in this motion in limine to preclude the 27 defense from trying. 28